

# Lévy–Khintchine Formulas Independent of a Lévy Function

Dragu Atanasiu

*Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and University of*

ORE

ed by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

Submitted by H. H. Danham

Received June 13, 1996

In this paper we obtain integral representations, independent of a Lévy function, for negative definite functions with real part bounded below defined on a commutative involutive semigroup and for continuous negative definite functions defined on the group  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . © 1997 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The integral representations of negative definite functions, known as Lévy–Khintchine formulas, depend on a Lévy function (see [3, p. 108, Theorem 3.19; 6, p. 316, Theorem 8]).

The existence of a Lévy function is proved in [7] for locally compact groups and in [4] for commutative involutive semigroups with a neutral element.

In Section 3 of this paper we obtain integral representations for negative definite functions, defined on a commutative involutive semigroup, such that the proof and the result are independent of a Lévy function.

These integral representations are given in Theorem 2 of this paper and generalize [2, p. 239, Theorem 2].

To obtain them, we use a theorem which is related to a Choquet type result on adapted spaces (see [2, p. 238, Theorem 1]) and is proved in Section 2.

We also give, using the proof of Theorem 2, Lévy–Khintchine formulas independent of a Lévy function for continuous negative definite functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [5] for the classical Lévy–Khintchine formula).

## 2. A REPRESENTATION THEOREM

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the set  $\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous and with compact support}\}$  and by  $\mathcal{C}_+(X)$  the set  $\{f \in \mathcal{C}(X) \mid f \geq 0\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $V$  be a linear space of real continuous functions on  $X$ , such that  $V \supset \mathcal{C}(X)$ , and  $L: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a linear functional, such that  $L(f) \geq 0$  for every  $f \in V_+$ , where  $V_+ = \{f \in V \mid f \geq 0\}$ . The restriction of  $L$  to  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is represented by a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  with the following properties:*

- (i) *every function of  $V_+$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and we have  $L(f) \geq \int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$  for  $f \in V_+$ ;*
- (ii) *a function  $f \in V$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and satisfies*

$$L(f) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$$

*if the following condition is satisfied: for every real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $h \in V_+$  with  $L(h) \leq \varepsilon$  and a compact  $K \subset X$  such that*

$$|f(x)| \leq h(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X - K.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in V_+$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{C}_+(X)$ , and  $f \geq g$ , then

$$L(f) \geq L(g) = \mu(g).$$

It results that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and  $L(f) \geq \mu(f)$ , which proves (i).

Let  $f$  be a function of  $V$  which satisfies the condition from (ii).

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a function  $h \in V_+$  with  $L(h) < \varepsilon$  and a compact set  $K \subset X$  such that

$$|f(x)| \leq h(x), \quad x \in X \setminus K.$$

This implies that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and consequently we can find a compact  $K' \subset X$  such that  $\int_{X \setminus K'} |f| d\mu \leq \varepsilon$ .

We choose a continuous function  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with compact support such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K \cup K'$ . We have

$$-h \leq f - f\varphi \leq h.$$

The positivity of  $L$  yields

$$\left| L(f) - \int f\varphi d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We obtain

$$\left| L(f) - \int f d\mu \right| \leq \left| L(f) + \int f\varphi d\mu \right| + \left| \int f\varphi d\mu - \int f d\mu \right| \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

which finishes the proof. ■

### 3. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR NEGATIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS ON AN INVOLUTIVE SEMIGROUP

Let  $(S, +, *)$  be a commutative involutive semigroup with neutral element 0 (see [3, p. 86]). We say that a function  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite on  $S$  if for each natural number  $n \geq 1$ , each family  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  of complex numbers and each family  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of elements of  $S$ , we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(x_j + x_k^*) \geq 0.$$

A function  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is hermitian if  $\varphi(x^*) = \overline{\varphi(x)}$  for each  $x \in S$ .

We say that a hermitian function  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is negative definite on  $S$  if for each natural number  $n \geq 2$ , each family  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  of complex numbers such that  $c_1 + \dots + c_n = 0$  and each family  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of elements of  $S$ , we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(x_j + x_k^*) \leq 0.$$

A function  $\rho: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a character if

- (i)  $\rho(0) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\rho(x + y) = \rho(x)\rho(y)$  for  $x, y \in S$ ;
- (iii)  $\rho(x^*) = \overline{\rho(x)}$  for  $x \in S$ .

We denote by  $\hat{S}$  the set of all bounded characters of  $S$ , by which we mean

$$\hat{S} = \{ \rho: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \rho \text{ is a character of } S; |\rho(x)| \leq 1, x \in S \}.$$

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $S$  such that  $0 \in A$ . We define  $\Lambda := \{ \rho \in \hat{S} \mid \rho(A) \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \}$  and  $\Omega := \{ \rho \in \Lambda \mid \rho \neq 1 \}$ .

With the product topology,  $\hat{S}$  and  $\Lambda$  are compact spaces and  $\Omega$  is a locally compact space.

**THEOREM 2.** For a function  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the functions  $(x \mapsto \varphi(x+a))_{a \in A}$  are negative definite on  $S$  and  $\varphi$  has real part bounded below;
- (ii) there are a real number  $C$ , a negative definite function  $q: S \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  such that

$$q(x) + q(y) = \frac{1}{2}(q(x+y) + q(x^* + y)), \quad x, y \in S,$$

and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  with the functions  $(\rho \mapsto (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(x)))_{x \in S}$   $\mu$ -integrable, which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) = C + q(x) + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(x)) d\mu(\rho), \quad x \in S$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x+y) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi(x) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi(y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{Im} \rho(x+y) - \operatorname{Im} \rho(x) - \operatorname{Im} \rho(y)) d\mu(\rho), \quad x, y \in S. \end{aligned}$$

$C$ ,  $q$ , and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Using [3, p. 74, Theorem 2.2], it results that for every  $a \in A$  and every  $t \in ]0, \infty[$  the function  $\psi_{t,a}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\psi_{t,a}(x) = e^{-t\varphi(x+a)}$  is positive definite. Consequently, using also the fact that for every  $t \in ]0, \infty[$  the function  $\psi_{t,0}$  is bounded, we find as in [8, p. 900, Proposition 1] or [1, p. 96, Theorem 2.5] positive Radon measures  $(\mu_t)_{t \in ]0, \infty[}$  on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$e^{-t\varphi(x)} = \int_{\Lambda} \rho(x) d\mu_t(\rho), \quad x \in S.$$

We denote by  $V$  the set

$$\left\{ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f = F|_{\Omega}, F: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F \text{ continuous}, \right. \\ \left. F(\theta) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Lambda} F(\rho) d\mu_t(\rho) \text{ exist in } \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

where  $f = F|_{\Omega}$  means that  $f$  is the restriction of  $F$  to  $\Omega$  and  $\theta: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\theta(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in S$ .

$V$  is a real vector space of continuous functions and the function  $L: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $L(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (1/t) \int_{\Lambda} F(\rho) d\mu_t(\rho)$  is a linear functional on  $V$  such that  $L(f) \geq 0$  for  $f \in V_+$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of all families  $(a_x)_{x \in S}$  of complex numbers such that  $a_x \neq 0$  only for a finite number of  $x$  and which satisfy the relation  $\sum_{x \in S} a_x = 0$ .

Let  $U$  denote the set

$$\left\{ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(\rho) = \sum_{x \in S} a_x \rho(x), (a_x)_{x \in S} \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

Equivalently,  $f \in U$  iff  $f = F|_{\Omega}$  where

$$F \in \text{span}(\{ \rho \mapsto \rho(x) \mid x \in S \}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad F(\theta) = 0.$$

$U$  is a real vector space. We shall prove that  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

If we take  $(a_x)_{x \in S} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that the function defined on  $\Omega$  by

$$\rho \mapsto \sum_{x \in S} a_x \rho(x)$$

is in  $U$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in S} a_x \left( \frac{e^{-t\varphi(x)} - 1}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Lambda} \left( \sum_{x \in S} a_x \rho(x) \right) d\mu_t(\rho).$$

Letting  $t$  tend to 0 we obtain that the function  $(\rho \mapsto \sum_{x \in S} a_x \rho(x))$  is in  $V$  and that

$$L\left(\rho \mapsto \sum_{x \in S} a_x \rho(x)\right) = - \sum_{x \in S} a_x \varphi(x).$$

Next we prove that  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega) \subset V$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , and let  $F$  be its zero extension to  $\Lambda$ .

We have  $\text{supp } f \subset \Omega = \bigcup_{x \in S} \{ \rho \in \Omega \mid |1 - \rho(x)| > 0 \}$  and consequently we can find a natural number  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  elements of  $S$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)| > 0$  on  $\text{supp } f$ .

For every  $x \in S$  the functions defined on  $\Omega$  by  $\rho \mapsto \text{Re } \rho(x) \sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)|^2$  and  $\rho \mapsto \text{Im } \rho(x) \sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)|^2$  belong to  $U$ . It results from the inclusion  $U \subset V$  that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Lambda} \rho(x) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)|^2 \right) d\mu_t(\rho)$$

exists in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $u(x)$  this limit.

The function  $u: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded and the functions  $(x \mapsto u(x + a))_{a \in A}$  are positive definite. Using [8, p. 900, Proposition 1] or [1, p. 96, Theorem

2.5], we obtain a positive Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$u(x) = \int_{\Lambda} \rho(x) d\nu(\rho), \quad x \in S.$$

We have, by a slight modification of Theorem 2.11 from [3, p. 97] that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Lambda} F(\rho) d\mu_t(\rho) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\Lambda} G(\rho) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)|^2 \right) d\mu_t(\rho) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} G(\rho) d\nu(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

(where  $G: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the continuous function defined by  $G(\rho) = f(\rho)/\sum_{j=1}^n |1 - \rho(a_j)|^2$  for  $\rho \in \text{supp } f$  and  $G(\rho) = 0$  for  $\rho \in \Lambda \setminus \text{supp } f$ ) which means that  $f \in V$ .

Let  $x, y$  be elements of  $S$  and  $\varepsilon$  a real number such that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $K_{\varepsilon, y}$  (resp.  $K'_{\varepsilon, y}$ ) be the compact  $\{\rho \in \Omega \mid \text{Re}\rho(y) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$  (resp.  $\{\rho \in \Omega \mid |\text{Im}\rho(y)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ ).

We have

$$(1 - \text{Re}\rho(x))(1 - \text{Re}\rho(y)) \leq \varepsilon(1 - \text{Re}\rho(x))$$

for  $\rho \in \Omega - K_{\varepsilon, y}$  and

$$|(1 - \text{Re}\rho(x))\text{Im}\rho(y)| \leq \varepsilon(1 - \text{Re}\rho(x))$$

for  $\rho \in \Omega - K'_{\varepsilon, y}$ .

Theorem 1 yields a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  such that the elements of  $V_+$  are  $\mu$ -integrable and we have

$$-\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x^*) \geq \int_{\Omega} (1 - \text{Re}\rho(x)) d\mu(\rho), \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x^*) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(y) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(y^*) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(\varphi(x+y) + \varphi(x^*+y) + \varphi(x+y^*) + \varphi(x^*+y^*)) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (1 - \text{Re}\rho(x))(1 - \text{Re}\rho(y)) d\mu(\rho), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2i}(\varphi(y) - \varphi(y^*)) \\
& + \frac{1}{4i}(\varphi(x+y) + \varphi(x^*+y) - \varphi(x+y^*) - \varphi(x^*+y^*)) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(x)) \operatorname{Im} \rho(y) d\mu(\rho), \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2i}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(x^*)) \\
& + \frac{1}{4i}(\varphi(x+y) + \varphi(y^*+x) - \varphi(y+x^*) - \varphi(y^*+x^*)) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(y)) \operatorname{Im} \rho(x) d\mu(\rho). \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

If we denote by  $q: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the function defined by  $q(x) = -\varphi(0) + \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) - \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(x)) d\mu(\rho)$ , then the relation (1) gives  $q(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in S$ .

Choosing a natural number  $n \geq 2$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  complex numbers, such that  $c_1 + \dots + c_n = 0$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  elements of  $S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^*) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(x_j + x_k^*) + \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \rho(x_j) \right|^2 d\mu(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $q$  is negative definite because the function defined on  $\Omega$  by

$$\rho \mapsto \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \rho(x_j) \right|^2 \quad \text{is in } V_+.$$

The formula (2) gives

$$q(x) + q(y) = \frac{1}{2}(q(x+y) + q(x^*+y)).$$

From (3) and (4) we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2i}(\varphi(y) - \varphi(y^*)) - \frac{1}{2i}(\varphi(x) - \varphi(x^*))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2i} (\varphi(x+y) - \varphi(x^* + y^*)) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(x)) \operatorname{Im} \rho(y) d\mu(\rho) \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(y)) \operatorname{Im} \rho(x) d\mu(\rho) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{Im} \rho(x) + \operatorname{Im} \rho(y) - \operatorname{Im} \rho(x+y)) d\mu(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the second integral formula from the theorem.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $n$  be a natural number  $\geq 2$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  complex numbers, such that  $c_1 + \dots + c_n = 0$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  elements of  $S$ . If we have the integral representations of (ii) and if  $a \in A$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \varphi(x_j + x_k^* + a) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x_j + x_k^* + a) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \operatorname{Im} \varphi(x_j + x_k^* + a) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^* + a) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x_j + a) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi(x_k^*)) \\
& \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left( - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \rho(x_j) \right|^2 \rho(a) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (\operatorname{Im} \rho(x_j + a) + \operatorname{Im} \rho(x_k^*)) \right) d\mu(\rho) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^* + a) - \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \rho(x_j) \right|^2 \rho(a) d\mu(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the properties of  $q$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^* + a) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (q(x_j + x_k^* + a) - 2q(x_j + a) - 2q(x_k))
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (q(x_j + x_k^* + a) - 2q(x_j + x_k^*) - 2q(a)) \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^*) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (q(x_j + x_k + a) + q(x_j + x_k^* + a^*)) \\
& + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^*) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k (q(x_j) + q(x_k + a)) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^*) \\
& = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k q(x_j + x_k^*) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that the function  $x \mapsto q(x + a)$  is negative definite and consequently that the function  $x \mapsto \varphi(x + a)$  is negative definite for every  $a \in A$ . This proves the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) because it is clear that  $\varphi$  has the real part bounded below.

The unicity of  $\mu$  results from the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\varphi(x) + \varphi(x + y) + \varphi(x + y^*) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}(\varphi(x + 2y) + 2\varphi(x + y + y^*) + \varphi(x + 2y^*)) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \rho(x)(1 - \operatorname{Re} \rho(y))^2 d\mu(\rho), \quad x, y \in S.
\end{aligned}$$

Unicity of  $q$  is a consequence of the unicity of  $\mu$  because  $C = \varphi(0)$ . This finishes the proof of the theorem. ■

*Remark 1.* In [3, p. 101, Theorem 3.9] it is proved that a function  $q: S \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ , such that

$$q(x) + q(y) = \frac{1}{2}(q(x + y) + q(x + y^*)), \quad x, y \in S$$

is automatically negative definite.

**COROLLARY 1.** We have  $\mu = 0$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) = C + q(x) + il(x)$ ,  $x \in S$ , where  $C$  and  $q$  are as in the Theorem 2 and  $l: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that  $l(x + y) = l(x) + l(y)$ ,  $x, y \in S$ , and  $l(x^*) = -l(x)$ ,  $x \in S$ .

COROLLARY 2. We have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu_t|_{\Omega} = \mu$  vaguely.

Corollaries 1 and 2 result from the proof of the theorem.

In the following the group  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is considered as a  $*$ -semigroup with the involution  $x^* := -x$ .

THEOREM 3. For a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\varphi$  is continuous and negative definite on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(ii) there is a real number  $C$ , a positive quadratic form  $q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $q(x) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk} x_j x_k$  with  $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$ , and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (0, \dots, 0) = \Omega$  with the function  $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g(\rho) = \|\rho\|^2 / (1 + \|\rho\|^2)$   $\mu$ -integrable, which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(x) = C + q(x) + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \cos \langle \rho, x \rangle) d\mu(\rho)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{Im} \varphi(x+y) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi(x) + \operatorname{Im} \varphi(y) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\sin \langle \rho, x+y \rangle - \sin \langle \rho, x \rangle - \sin \langle \rho, y \rangle) d\mu(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

$C, q$ , and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* By Bochner's theorem the measures  $\mu_t$  used in the proof of Theorem 2 to represent  $e^{-t\varphi}$  are concentrated on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a real number  $> 0$ . Let  $h_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  be the function defined by  $h_{\alpha}(\rho) = (1/\alpha^n) \int_{[0, \alpha]^n} (1 - \cos \langle \rho, x \rangle) dx$  (where  $dx$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

We have, with Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} L(h_{\alpha}|_{\Omega}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{\alpha}(\rho) d\mu_t(\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^n} \int_{[0, \alpha]^n} (-\varphi(0) + \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $L(h_{\alpha}|_{\Omega}) < \varepsilon$  and that for every  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $h_{\alpha}(\rho) \geq 1/2$  for  $\|\rho\| \geq M$ .

Let  $x, y$  be elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $L(h_{\alpha}|_{\Omega}) \leq \varepsilon$ . There exists a compact  $K_{\varepsilon, y}$  (resp.  $K'_{\varepsilon, y}$ ) of  $\Omega$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \cos \langle \rho, x \rangle)(1 - \cos \langle \rho, y \rangle) \\ & \leq \varepsilon(1 - \cos \langle \rho, x \rangle) + 8h_{\alpha}(\rho) \quad \text{for } \rho \in \Omega \setminus K_{\varepsilon, y} \end{aligned}$$

(resp.  $|(1 - \cos\langle \rho, x \rangle)\sin\langle \rho, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon(1 - \cos\langle \rho, x \rangle) + 4h_\alpha(\rho)$  for  $\rho \in \Omega \setminus K'_{\varepsilon, y}$ ).

Using these inequalities and Theorem 1, we can obtain the integral representations of (ii) as in the proof of Theorem 2.

The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and the unicity of  $C, q$ , and  $\mu$  also result as in Theorem 2.

To finish the proof, we only have to prove that if a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega$  is such that the functions

$$(\rho \mapsto 1 - \cos\langle \rho, x \rangle)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

are  $\mu$ -integrable, then the function  $\rho \mapsto \|\rho\|^2/(1 + \|\rho\|^2)$  is also  $\mu$ -integrable.

Using (1) and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1]^n} \left( -\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(-x) \right) dx \\ & \geq \int_{[0,1]^n} \left( \int_{\Omega} (1 - \cos\langle \rho, x \rangle) d\mu(\rho) \right) dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left( 1 - \int_{[0,1]^n} \cos\langle \rho, x \rangle dx \right) d\mu(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is obvious that the function  $\rho \mapsto \|\rho\|^2/(1 + \|\rho\|^2)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable. ■

In the following  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Consider the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}^2, +)$  with the involution  $(m, n)^* = (n, m)$ . For a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\varphi$  is negative definite and has the real part bounded below;

(ii) there are real numbers  $C, \alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1, z \neq 1\}$  with the function  $x + iy \mapsto 1 - x$   $\mu$ -integrable, which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(m, n) = C + (m + n)\alpha + (m - n)^2\beta + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} z^m \bar{z}^n) d\mu(z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Im}(-\varphi(m + p, n + q) + \varphi(m, n) + \varphi(p, q)) \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Im}(z^{m+p} \bar{z}^{n+q} - z^m \bar{z}^n - z^p \bar{z}^q) d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

$C$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= -\varphi(0,0) + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(1,0) + \varphi(0,1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}(\varphi(2,0) - 2\varphi(1,1) + \varphi(0,2)) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \left(1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2\right) d\mu(x + iy)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{8}(\varphi(2,0) + 2\varphi(1,1) + \varphi(0,2)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} y^2 d\mu(x + iy).$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $\Lambda$  the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ . We have

$$\hat{\mathbb{N}}^2 = \{\rho: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \rho(0,0) = 1; \rho(m,n) = \overline{\rho(n,m)};$$

$$\rho(m+p, n+q) = \rho(m,n) \cdot \rho(p,q); |\rho(m,n)| \leq 1, m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let  $z \in \Lambda$ . The function  $\rho_z: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\rho_z(m,n) = z^m \bar{z}^n$  is in  $\hat{\mathbb{N}}^2$  and the mapping  $z \mapsto \rho_z$  is a topological isomorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto  $\hat{\mathbb{N}}^2$ . Using this isomorphism, Example 1 is a particular case of Theorem 2 and we only have to calculate  $q(m,n)$  where

$$q(m,n) = -\varphi(0,0) + \operatorname{Re} \varphi(m,n) - \int_{\Omega} (1 - \operatorname{Re} z^m \bar{z}^n) d\mu(z).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2, we notice that the function defined on  $\Omega$  by

$$x + iy \mapsto (1-x)^m y^n$$

is  $\mu$ -integrable for  $m \geq 1$  or  $n \geq 2$  and we have

$$L(x + iy \mapsto (1-x)^m y^n) = \int_{\Omega} (1-x)^m y^n d\mu(x + iy)$$

for  $m \geq 2$  or  $n \geq 3$  or  $(m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1)$ .

Using this and the binomial theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&L\left(x + iy \mapsto 1 - \operatorname{Re}(x + iy)^m (x - iy)^n\right. \\ &\quad \left. - (m+n) \left(1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(m-n)^2 y^2\right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(1 - \operatorname{Re}(x + iy)^m (x - iy)^n\right. \\ &\quad \left. - (m+n) \left(1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(m-n)^2 y^2\right) d\mu(x + iy).\end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to  $q(m, n) = (m + n)\alpha + (m - n)^2\beta$ , where  $\alpha = L(x + iy \mapsto 1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2) - \int_{\Omega} (1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2) d\mu(x + iy)$  and

$$\beta = L\left(x + iy \mapsto \frac{1}{2}y^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} y^2 d\mu(x + iy).$$

We have  $1 - x - \frac{1}{2}y^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x^2 - y^2) \geq 0$ , which implies that  $\alpha \geq 0$ . That  $\beta \geq 0$  is obvious. ■

*Remark 2.* The integral representation of negative definite functions considered in Example 1, which uses a Lévy function, is in [3, p. 119, Proposition 4.15].

**EXAMPLE 2.** Consider the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}^2, +, *)$  as in Example 1. For a function  $\varphi: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $\varphi$  and the function  $(m, n) \mapsto \varphi(m + 1, n)$  are negative definite and  $\varphi$  has the real part bounded below;

(ii) there are real numbers  $C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  such that  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega = [0, 1[$  with the function  $x \mapsto 1 - x$   $\mu$ -integrable, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m, n) &= C + (m + n)\alpha + (m - n)^2\beta + i(m - n)\gamma \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (1 - x^{m+n}) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

$C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and  $\mu$  are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$ .

The proof is similar to the proof of Example 1.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am indebted to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Atanasiu, Un théorème du type Bochner-Godement et le problème des moments, *J. Funct. Anal.* **92** (1990), 92–102.
2. D. Atanasiu, A Lévy-Khintchine formula for semigroups and related problems: An adapted spaces approach, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **198** (1996), 237–247.

3. C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel, "Harmonic Analysis on Semi-groups," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York/Tokyo, 1984.
4. H. Buchwalter, Les fonctions de Lévy existent!, *Math. Ann.* **274** (1986), 31–34.
5. P. Courrège, Générateur infinitesimal d'un semi-groupe de convolution sur  $\mathbb{R}^n$  et formule de Lévy-Khintchine, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **88** (1964), 3–30.
6. G. Forst, The Lévy-Hinčin representation of negative definite functions, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **34** (1976), 313–318.
7. K. R. Parthasarathy, R. Ranga Rao, and S. R. S. Varadhan, Probability distributions on locally compact abelian groups, *Illinois J. Math.* **7** (1963), 337–369.
8. P. Ressel, De Finetti-type theorems: An analytical approach, *Ann. Probab.* **13** (1985), 898–922.